PAGE 03/03 01/09/2008 15:48 4153923729 1. The Complaint by the Plaintiffs was filed on December 21, 2007. 1 2. Defendants only recently retained counsel in this action and Defendants' Counsel has 2 not yet obtained an opportunity to fully review the case in order to respond to the Complaint. 3 3. No previous requests for extensions of time have been sought in this matter. 4 4. Both parties have stipulated to an extension of 30 days for Defendant to file a responsive 5 6 pleading in this matter. 7 The parties submit that their request for an extension of time to file the responsive 8 pleading by the Defendants will ensure that the response to the Complaint will be meaningful and promote the efficient use of the Court's resources. The parties further stipulate that an extension 10 of time be granted until February 8, 2008 for Defendants' Counsel to file the responsive pleading. 11 12 13 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 14 Dated: January Q, 2008 15 LAW OFFICES OF NEVIN AND ABSALOM 16 17 Attorneys for Defendants, Laborers' International Union of North America, Local 18 261; and City and County of San Francisco Department of Public Works. 19 Dated: January C/, 2008 BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC 20 21 22 Susan J. Olson Peter Roldon 23 24 Fund for Northern California 25

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Board of Trustees of the Laborers Training and Retraining Trust

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING-CASE NO. CV07-06436 SC

26

27

28